

Bn 8519 - OF  
INDEX

PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION  
International Bureau

## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

|   |           |   |
|---|-----------|---|
| <b>(51) International Patent Classification <sup>6</sup>:</b><br><b>B01D 21/01</b>  | <b>A1</b> | <b>(11) International Publication Number:</b> <b>WO 99/30794</b><br><b>(43) International Publication Date:</b> 24 June 1999 (24.06.99)   |
| <b>(21) International Application Number:</b> PCT/US98/26207<br><b>(22) International Filing Date:</b> 10 December 1998 (10.12.98)<br><br><b>(30) Priority Data:</b><br>08/991,103 12 December 1997 (12.12.97) US<br>09/074,706 8 May 1998 (08.05.98) US<br>09/203,314 30 November 1998 (30.11.98) US<br><br><b>(71) Applicant:</b> NALCO CHEMICAL COMPANY [US/US]; One Nalco Center, Naperville, IL 60563-1198 (US).<br><br><b>(72) Inventors:</b> SELVARAJAN, Radhakrishnan; 6801 Meade Road, Downers Grove, IL 60516 (US). PHILLIPS, Everett, C.; 1201 Brandywine Circle, N., Batavia, IL 60510 (US). STROMINGER, Michael, G.; 3028 Wedgewood Drive, DeKalb, IL 60115 (US). COUNTER, James, Adrian; Unit 5, 17 Bath Street, Thirroul, NSW 2515 (AU).<br><br><b>(74) Agent:</b> KEEFER, Timothy, J.; Ladas & Parry, 224 S. Michigan Avenue, Chicago, IL 60604 (US). |           | <b>(81) Designated States:</b> AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).<br><br><b>Published</b><br><i>With international search report.</i> |
| <b>(54) Title:</b> WATER CONTINUOUS EMULSION POLYMERS FOR IMPROVING THE EFFICIENCY OF FILTRATION, CLARIFICATION AND SCALE CONTROL IN THE BAYER PROCESS<br><br><b>(57) Abstract</b><br><br>The present invention is directed to improvements in the Bayer mining process, used for the recovery of alumina from bauxite ore. Water-continuous emulsion polymers are advantageously used to improve the clarification, filtration and scale control of red-mud containing liquors generated during the Bayer process. Preferred polymers are high molecular weight poly(methyl acrylate/acrylic acid), poly(methyl acrylate), poly(vinyl acetate/acrylic acid/methyl acrylate) and a combination of a high molecular weight poly(methyl acrylate/acrylic acid) with a lower molecular weight poly(methyl acrylate/acrylic acid).  |           |   |

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

|    |                          |    |                     |    |                       |    |                          |
|----|--------------------------|----|---------------------|----|-----------------------|----|--------------------------|
| AL | Albania                  | ES | Spain               | LS | Lesotho               | SI | Slovenia                 |
| AM | Armenia                  | FI | Finland             | LT | Lithuania             | SK | Slovakia                 |
| AT | Austria                  | FR | France              | LU | Luxembourg            | SN | Senegal                  |
| AU | Australia                | GA | Gabon               | LV | Latvia                | SZ | Swaziland                |
| AZ | Azerbaijan               | GB | United Kingdom      | MC | Monaco                | TD | Chad                     |
| BA | Bosnia and Herzegovina   | GE | Georgia             | MD | Republic of Moldova   | TG | Togo                     |
| BB | Barbados                 | GH | Ghana               | MG | Madagascar            | TJ | Tajikistan               |
| BE | Belgium                  | GN | Guinea              | MK | The former Yugoslav   | TM | Turkmenistan             |
| BF | Burkina Faso             | GR | Greece              |    | Republic of Macedonia | TR | Turkey                   |
| BG | Bulgaria                 | HU | Hungary             | ML | Mali                  | TT | Trinidad and Tobago      |
| BJ | Benin                    | IE | Ireland             | MN | Mongolia              | UA | Ukraine                  |
| BR | Brazil                   | IL | Israel              | MR | Mauritania            | UG | Uganda                   |
| BY | Belarus                  | IS | Iceland             | MW | Malawi                | US | United States of America |
| CA | Canada                   | IT | Italy               | MX | Mexico                | UZ | Uzbekistan               |
| CF | Central African Republic | JP | Japan               | NE | Niger                 | VN | Viet Nam                 |
| CG | Congo                    | KE | Kenya               | NL | Netherlands           | YU | Yugoslavia               |
| CH | Switzerland              | KG | Kyrgyzstan          | NO | Norway                | ZW | Zimbabwe                 |
| CI | Côte d'Ivoire            | KP | Democratic People's | NZ | New Zealand           |    |                          |
| CM | Cameroon                 |    | Republic of Korea   | PL | Poland                |    |                          |
| CN | China                    | KR | Republic of Korea   | PT | Portugal              |    |                          |
| CU | Cuba                     | KZ | Kazakhstan          | RO | Romania               |    |                          |
| CZ | Czech Republic           | LC | Saint Lucia         | RU | Russian Federation    |    |                          |
| DE | Germany                  | LI | Liechtenstein       | SD | Sudan                 |    |                          |
| DK | Denmark                  | LK | Sri Lanka           | SE | Sweden                |    |                          |
| EE | Estonia                  | LR | Liberia             | SG | Singapore             |    |                          |

**WATER CONTINUOUS EMULSION POLYMERS FOR IMPROVING  
THE EFFICIENCY OF FILTRATION, CLARIFICATION  
AND SCALE CONTROL IN THE BAYER PROCESS**

**Field of the Invention**

The present invention is directed to improvements in the Bayer mining process, used for the recovery of alumina from bauxite ore. Water-continuous emulsion polymers are advantageously used to improve the clarification, filtration and scale control of red-mud containing liquors generated during the Bayer process. Preferred polymers are high molecular weight poly(methyl acrylate/acrylic acid), poly(methyl acrylate), poly(vinyl acetate/acrylic acid/methyl acrylate) and a combination of a high molecular weight poly(methyl acrylate/acrylic acid) with a lower molecular weight poly(methyl acrylate/acrylic acid).

**Background of the Invention**

**The Process**

In the Bayer process for the production of alumina, bauxite ore is pulverized, slurried in water, and then digested with caustic at elevated temperatures and pressures. The caustic solution dissolves oxides of aluminum, forming an aqueous sodium aluminate solution. The caustic-insoluble constituents of bauxite ore (referred to as "red mud") are then separated from the aqueous phase containing the dissolved sodium aluminate. Solid alumina trihydrate is precipitated out of the solution and collected as product.

In more detail, the pulverized bauxite ore is fed to a slurry mixer where a water slurry is prepared. The slurry makeup water is typically spent liquor (described below) and added caustic. This bauxite ore slurry is then diluted and passed through a digester or a series of digesters where, under high pressure and temperature, about 98% of the total available alumina is released from the ore as caustic-soluble sodium aluminate. After digestion, the slurry passes through several flash tanks wherein the

-2-

pressure of the digested slurry is reduced from several atmospheres to one atmosphere and the temperature of the slurry is reduced from about 200° C. to about 105° C.

The aluminate liquor leaving the flashing operation contains from about 1 to about 20 weight percent solids, which solids consist of the insoluble residue that remains after, or is precipitated during, digestion. The coarser solid particles may be removed from the aluminate liquor with "sand trap" cyclones. The finer solid particles are generally separated from the liquor first by settling and then by filtration, if necessary. The slurry of aluminate liquor leaving the flash tanks is diluted by a stream of recycled washer overflow liquor. Any Bayer process slurry taken from the digesters through any subsequent dilution of the slurry, including the flash tanks, but before the primary settler, is referred hereinafter as the primary settler feed.

Normally, the primary settler feed is thereafter fed to the center well of the primary settler, where it is treated with a flocculant. As the mud settles, clarified sodium aluminate solution, referred to as "green" or "pregnant" liquor, overflows a weir at the top of the primary settler and is collected. This overflow from the primary settling tank is passed to the subsequent process steps.

The clarity of the primary settler overflow is crucial to the efficient processing of alumina trihydrate. If the aluminate liquor overflowing the settler contains an unacceptable concentration of suspended solids (at times from about 10 to about 500 mg of suspended solids per liter), it must be further clarified by filtration to give a filtrate with no more than about 10 mg suspended solids per liter of liquor. The treatment of the liquor collected after the primary settlement to remove any residual suspended solids before alumina trihydrate is recovered is referred to as a secondary clarification stage.

The clarified sodium aluminate liquor is seeded with alumina trihydrate crystals to induce precipitation of alumina in the form of alumina trihydrate,  $\text{Al}(\text{OH})_3$ . The alumina trihydrate particles or crystals are then separated from the concentrated caustic liquor, and the remaining liquid phase, the spent liquor, is returned to the initial digestion step and employed as a digestant after reconstitution with caustic.

The settled solids of the primary settler are withdrawn from the bottom of the

-3-

settler ("underflow") and passed through a countercurrent washing circuit for recovery of sodium aluminate and soda. Overflow water from this washing circuit is recycled as primary settler feed and dilutes the slurry as it leaves the flash tanks.

The partial separation of the red mud solids from the pregnant liquor in the primary settler is expedited by the use of a flocculant. This initial clarification of the pregnant liquor is referred to as the primary settler stage. Flocculating agents, such as inverse emulsion liquid polymers, dry polymers and polysaccharides including starch, are commonly used to improve the separation of insolubles by increasing the rate at which the solids settle, by reducing the amount of residual solids suspended in the liquor, and by decreasing the amount of liquor in the settled solids phase. Flocculation performance is highly important in the primary settling stages. Red muds are comprised chiefly of iron oxides (at least about 50 weight percent of the red mud solids), together with silicon oxides, calcium oxides, sodium alumino-silicates, titanium oxides and other material, and commonly represent from about 5 to about 50 weight percent of the materials of the bauxite ore. Generally these muds are comprised of very fine particles, which hinder the desired rapid and clean separation of red mud particles from the solubilized alumina liquor. If the rate of separation is too slow, output is materially diminished and overall process efficiency is impaired. If the separation is not clean, the resultant solubilized aluminate liquor will require a more extensive treatment to remove residual solids, and/or the alumina trihydrate recovered will contain levels of impurities that are undesirably high for many end-uses.

#### Conventional Treatments

The polysaccharides, starch and dextran were used early in red mud flocculation. For instance, U.S. Patent No. 3,085,853, April 16, 1963, Lesinski et al., uses native dextrans to increase the rate of sedimentation of finely divided solids in aqueous suspensions and thereby facilitate the separation of such solids. Later synthetic polymeric flocculants became more commonly employed for the Bayer process. Other types of polymers found to have utility include polymers formed from

-4-

polymerization of vinyl amine or vinyl formamide as disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 5,346,628; phosphonic acid polymers as disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 5,534,235; and polymers containing hydroxamic acid groups in U.S. Patent No. 4,767,540.

Another approach to Bayer process treatments is the combination of polysaccharides with synthetic polymeric flocculants. For example, U.S. Patent No. 3,397,953, August 20, 1968, Galvin et al., uses a blend of starch and polyacrylic acid on red mud suspensions, noting that polyacrylic acid alone is not suitable as a flocculating agent. The polyacrylic acids exemplified generally have molecular weights of less than 300,000. The flocculation and sedimentation activity of the blend is exemplified in the primary settler stage of a bauxite process, contains at least about 80 weight percent of the acrylic acid mer unit, and has a molecular weight in excess of 50,000, and preferably in excess of 100,000. Other starch/polymer combinations are disclosed in U.S. Patent Nos. 3,397,953; 3,541,009; 3,681,012; and 5,008,089.

Emulsions of polymers formed from lower primary alkyl acrylate monomers for refining alumina are disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 3,755,531. Moreover, Unexamined Japanese Patent No. 4986 - 1979 discloses a process for producing an ultra high molecular weight acrylic acid copolymer salt. However, the polymers described herein are copolymers of significantly higher MW than either of these two references, and result in surprisingly greater activity than those lower molecular weight polymers previously disclosed by the Japanese references.

A process for improving the flocculation of suspended red mud solids in a Bayer process liquor comprises a water-continuous dispersion containing a polymer dispersed in the water of the continuous phase of said dispersion, the polymer comprised of at least 50 mole percent of mer units having pendant groups that hydrolyze to pendant carboxylic acid groups is disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 5,286,391. However, this reference suggests that because the in-situ hydrolysis occurs over time and results in continuous activation, that the optimal polymer would be one containing 100 mole percent of mer units having pendant hydrolyzable groups. By contrast, this invention discloses that there is an optimal degree of hydrolysis (not 100%), that occurs in the range of 70 -80%.

-5-

Difficulties Associated with Conventional Treatments

Oil continuous liquid flocculants (hereafter termed o/c flocculants), and powder flocculants (together represent 'synthetic flocculants'). Synthetic flocculants have been used to flocculate suspended red mud, as described above. Polysaccharides including starches have also been used to flocculate suspended red mud, as described above. Such flocculating agents are added in the primary settler, the mud washing circuit, mud dewatering systems (including centrifuges, vacuum filters, etc.) and to enhance mud stacking in mud disposal sites .

Prior to the successful introduction of synthetic high molecular weight polymers, polysaccharides including starch were used to settle red mud. Today, starch is still used alone in some refineries but starch is more commonly added in conjunction with high molecular weight synthetic flocculants. In these cases starch improves supernatant liquor clarity, improves the interface between the settled mud and the cleaner supernatant liquor, and reportedly helps to maintain mud bed stability of the settled mud in settlers and washers.

Red mud underflow is removed from the primary mud settler to a wash stage via an underflow pumping mechanism. Similar mud pumping is used in the mud washers, etc. In the wash stage, sodium aluminate and soda are recovered from this red mud. However, starch occasionally produces a red mud which has poor rheology, and, hence, has undesirable underflow characteristics. When starch is used, a mud is sometimes produced which has poor rheology and poor underflow characteristics. If not diluted, the underflow can occasionally become difficult to pump.

When o/c flocculants are used, o/c flocculants must be diluted either at the process facility or prior to shipping. If the o/c flocculants are diluted prior to shipping, shipping costs are dramatically increased. If o/c flocculants are diluted at the processing facility, equipment must be available at the facility to perform the dilution. Furthermore, other than the dilution step, the oil in water emulsion of the o/c flocculants must be inverted prior to application so that the flocculant is contained in the water phase of the emulsion. If o/c flocculants are added neat (non-inverted), the non-inverted, oil phase o/c flocculants will not disperse in the Bayer liquor, and the oil

-6-

phase will plug injection equipment. In light of the above problems with o/c flocculants, it would be an advance in the art of Bayer process treatments to either eliminate the need for o/c flocculants or decrease the amount of o/c flocculants needed to adequately flocculate red mud solids in a Bayer process liquor.

Moreover, oil continuous liquid flocculant of sodium or ammonium acrylate are largely used in bauxite industry for settling the red mud. Since the oil continuous liquid flocculants contain about 25-30% of paraffinic oils as the carrier, the liquor from which alumina separates out becomes enriched with an ever increasing amount of organic material. Since organics in the liquor inhibit the precipitation of alumina, a flocculant containing the least amount of the organic material would be most desirable.

While dry polymers reduce the level of organics added to the Bayer liquor, they also are not without concerns. The dry polymers are extremely hygroscopic and must therefore be handled, stored and prepared without exposing the dry polymer to moisture or humid air. Once a dry polymer has been exposed to moisture or humidity, severe system plugging and loss of activity will result. System plugging and loss of activity results in major costs to the user in terms of the cost of the non-usable dry polymer, disposal of the contaminated dry polymer, lost alumina production, increased maintenance costs, etc. Dry polymer storage, solution make-up and feeding equipment must be very elaborate to prevent these problems. Dry polymers are also difficult to dissolve in water, with 90%+ polymer hydration requiring mixing and aging time of the solution to be as high as 2 hours. This slow dissolution requires that the user have large inventories of solutions in 2 separate tanks. The first tank is the high mixing energy tank where dissolution occurs. The second tank is the working solution tank from which the polymer solution is drawn and fed into the process.

Accordingly, it would be advantageous to provide a new flocculating agent which would expedite the separation of the red mud solids from the pregnant liquor, improve the clarity of liquor overflow, be easy to handle and feed into the process, decrease flocculant usage, and eliminate starch.



-7-

**Summary of the Invention**

The present invention is directed to improvements in the Bayer mining process, used for the recovery of alumina from bauxite ore. Water-continuous emulsion polymers are advantageously used to improve the clarification, filtration and scale control of red-mud containing liquors generated during the Bayer process. Preferred polymers are high molecular weight poly(methyl acrylate/acrylic acid), poly(methyl acrylate), poly(vinyl acetate/acrylic acid/methyl acrylate) and a combination of a high molecular weight poly(methyl acrylate/acrylic acid) with a lower molecular weight poly(methyl acrylate/acrylic acid).

**Description of the Invention**

Bayer process liquors generally are aqueous media containing dissolved sodium aluminate and red mud solids at various concentrations. Such liquors include the primary settler slurry or feed, which contains high concentration levels of both red mud and dissolved sodium aluminate, the red mud washing slurries, which have high red mud concentrations but lesser concentrations of sodium aluminate and total alkalinity, and the secondary clarification liquors, which are rich in dissolved sodium aluminate but contain much less red mud than the other types of liquors. Additional liquors include red mud slurries which are dewatered in centrifuges or on vacuum drum or disc filters, as well as red mud slurries which are flocculated to improve their mud stacking properties or to improve the tendency to release water from the mud slurry. As discussed above, the separation of the red mud from the sodium aluminate and its aqueous phase is continued from the primary settlement stage until concentrated red mud is eliminated from the process circuit, and from the primary settlement stage until the clarified liquor is subjected to the alumina trihydrate crystallization.

The flocculation of red mud, which routinely precedes or follows either settling or filtration, is most difficult in the primary settlement stage because of the high concentration of fine particles, and the high concentration of total alkalinity. Improvement of the flocculation effectiveness in the primary settlement stage is

-8-

extremely important to the entire Bayer process. By reducing the level of suspended solids that remain in the supernatant above the floc formed in the primary settler liquor, the solids to be removed during secondary clarification stages are reduced. Also, residual flocculant (both synthetic and natural red mud flocculants) in the supernatant liquor have been shown to severely reduce filtration flux in the secondary clarification stage.

In the Bayer process, the bauxite ore is digested under highly alkaline conditions, and the typical primary settler liquors are routinely highly alkaline, containing sodium or calcium hydroxide, sodium aluminate, and commonly sodium carbonate. The total alkalinity of the primary settler feed, that is the liquor charged to the primary settlement stage, is typically from about 100 to 300 grams per liter of settler feed, as sodium carbonate. The solids contents of typical primary settler feeds vary from about 25 to about 85 grams per liter of settler feed.

By primary settler feed is meant herein the Bayer process digested slurry as charged to the flash tanks or other vessels emptying into the primary settler. Such feed may be an admixture of the digested slurry plus dilution liquor, and the dilution liquor is routinely the counter current technique wash water from the red mud washing stages discussed above. The primary settler feed differs from the liquors or slurries subjected to clarification and/or separation in the secondary clarification stage or the red mud washing stages by composition as to the solids content, dissolved sodium aluminate content, and total alkalinity. The primary settler feed also differs from the liquors or slurries in that no insoluble fraction thereof has received an earlier flocculation treatment. An improved clarification of Bayer process primary settler liquors is the principle object of the present invention. Nonetheless in broad embodiment the present invention is directed to the clarification and settling of red mud-containing liquors in any aspect of mineral processing wherein such red mud is found. For example, the invention may be used in the counter-current wash liquors, primary settler liquors of the Bayer process, as well as addition to red mud which is dewatered in centrifuges or by vacuum filtration (drum filters, and disc filters among others) and red mud which is flocculated after the last mud washing stage to improve

-9-

the mud stacking properties in the mud disposal area, or in addition to red mud which must be made useful for other purposes.

Upon flocculation of a primary settler feed, using the polymers of the invention, a liquor/mud interface will form where upon settling, the supernatant liquor is low in suspended solids (generally ranging from about 10 to about 500 mg/l) and overlies a mud layer. The lower mud layer contains the flocculated material, and as discussed above is comprised of both red mud solids (generally ranging from about 10 to about 70% mud solids by weight) and some amount of pregnant liquor. The overlying supernatant is the liquor that is separated for secondary clarification, again as discussed above. This supernatant is "mud-clear" and the interface between it and the mud layer is clearly seen in some cases, but the supernatant is not entirely free of suspended solids, appearing instead as a hazy, but transparent, liquid. The present invention diminishes the amount of suspended solids in such supernatant, and hence decreases the extent of secondary clarification required to obtain a given purity of sodium aluminate solution. The present invention may also reduce or eliminate the need for starch by improved supernatant liquor clarity and improved red mud stability.

The digested slurry is typically discharged from the flash tanks at elevated temperatures. The primary settler feed is generally not further cooled before charging to the primary settlement stage other than the cooling which may occur when a digested slurry is optionally admixed with the liquor from the first red mud wash stage to form a primary settler feed. According to the invention, the flocculation of the primary settler feed is conducted at atmospheric pressures and at elevated temperatures of from about 80°C or 90°C. to about 103°C or 115°C.

One aspect of this invention is a method for treating Bayer process red mud-containing liquor comprising the steps of :

- a) adding to said red mud-containing liquor a poly(methyl acrylate/acrylic acid) emulsion copolymer, wherein said copolymer is formed from monomers having a mole ratio of methyl acrylate to acrylic acid of from about 85:15 to about 99.9:0.1 and wherein said copolymer has an intrinsic viscosity in 2N NaNO<sub>3</sub> at 30°C of at least 18 dL/g.;

-10-

- b) forming a red mud phase and a clarified liquor phase; and
- c) recovering said clarified liquor phase.

Another aspect of this invention is a method for manufacturing high molecular weight emulsion copolymers by free radical polymerization of monomers comprising the steps of :

- a) adding from about 1.5% to about 4.0% based on copolymer of at least one surfactant in solution to a reactor;
- b) adding an amount from about 0.1% to about 1.0% based on copolymer of an antifoam to said solution;
- c) cooling the solution formed in step b to 10°C;
- d) adding one third of the total amount of said monomers to the cooled solution of step c); and,
- e) slowly adding from about 1 ppm to about 5 ppm of initiators to the solution of step d) and
- f) repeating said steps d and e twice more until all of said monomers have been consumed, whereby polymerization is effected.

The following information applies to any aspect of this invention. The copolymer may be from about 60 to about 90 percent hydrolyzed in said liquor. Moreover the copolymer may be from about 75 to about 80 percent hydrolyzed in said liquor. The copolymer may have a mole ratio of methyl acrylate to acrylic acid of from about 90:10 to about 99.5:0.5. The copolymer may have a mole ratio of methyl acrylate to acrylic acid of 97.5:2.5. The copolymer may have an intrinsic viscosity in 2N NaNO<sub>3</sub> at 30°C of from about 20 to about 40 dL/g. The total alkalinity of the red mud containing liquor may be from about 10 to about 300 grams per liter expressed as sodium carbonate. The solids content of the red mud containing liquor may be from about 10 grams per liter to about 350 grams per liter. The treatment of the red mud containing liquor may be conducted at atmospheric pressure and at a temperature of from about 5°C to about 200°C. Moreover, the treatment of the red mud containing liquor may be conducted at atmospheric pressure and at a temperature of from about 30°C to about 115°C. The surfactant may be selected from the group consisting of

-11-

sodium dodecyl benzene sulfonate,  $C_1$ - $C_{10}$  linear and branched alkyl phenol ethoxylates, ethylene-oxide/propylene oxide block copolymers, sodium lauryl sulfate, ammonium sulfate salt of  $C_1$ - $C_{10}$  linear or branched alkyl phenol ethoxylates, sodium sulfate salt of  $C_1$ - $C_{10}$  linear or branched alkylphenol and combinations thereof. The surfactant may be a combination of ammonium nonylphenol ethoxylate sulfate, octylphenol ethoxylate and ethylene oxide/propylene oxide block copolymer. Moreover, the surfactants may be in a ratio of from 55:15:30 to 85:6:9 of ammonium sulfate salt of nonylphenol ethoxylate, octylphenol ethoxylate and ethylene oxide/propylene oxide block copolymer. Preferably, the surfactants are in a ratio of 79:9:12 of ammonium sulfate salt of nonylphenol ethoxylate, octylphenol ethoxylate and ethylene oxide/propylene oxide block copolymer.

The initiators may be selected from the group consisting of redox pairs of transition metal ions/peroxides and inorganic reductants/oxidants. Preferably, the initiators may be potassium chlorate and ferrous sulfate heptahydrate. The monomers may be selected from the group consisting of (meth)acrylic acid and its alkali metal salts, vinyl acetate,  $C_1$ - $C_{20}$  alkyl esters of acrylic acid, phenethyl ester of acrylic acid, hydroxyethyl acrylate, methyl methacrylate, nonyl-2-benzyl ester of acrylic acid and combinations thereof.

Another aspect of the invention is a high molecular weight emulsion of a copolymer formed from the free radical polymerization of monomers wherein said polymer has an intrinsic viscosity in 2N  $\text{NaNO}_3$  at 30°C of at least 18 dL/g comprising:

a) from about 15 to about 60% of a copolymer formed from monomers selected from the group consisting of (meth)acrylic acid and its alkali metal salts, vinyl acetate,  $C_1$ - $C_{20}$  alkyl esters of acrylic acid, phenethyl ester of acrylic acid, hydroxyethyl acrylate, methyl methacrylate, nonyl-2-benzyl ester of acrylic acid and combinations thereof;

b) from about 1 to about 10% of emulsifiers selected from the group consisting of ammonium nonylphenol ethoxylate sulfate, octylphenol ethoxylate and ethylene oxide/propylene oxide block copolymer; and

-12-

c) the balance water.

The invention is also a high molecular weight emulsion of a copolymer formed from the free radical polymerization of monomers wherein said polymer has an intrinsic viscosity in 2N NaNO<sub>3</sub> at 30°C of at least 18 dL/g comprising:

a) from about 20 to about 50% of a copolymer formed from monomers selected from the group consisting of (meth)acrylic acid and its alkali metal salts, vinyl acetate, C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>20</sub> alkyl esters of acrylic acid, phenethyl ester of acrylic acid, hydroxyethyl acrylate, methyl methacrylate, nonyl-2-benzyl ester of acrylic acid and combinations thereof.

b) from about 2 to about 17% of emulsifiers selected from the group consisting of ammonium nonylphenol ethoxylate sulfate, octylphenol ethoxylate and ethylene oxide/propylene oxide block copolymer; and

c) the balance water.

Additionally, the invention is also a high molecular weight emulsion of a copolymer formed from the free radical polymerization of monomers wherein said polymer has an intrinsic viscosity in 2N NaNO<sub>3</sub> at 30°C of at least 18 dL/g comprising:

a) from about 30 to about 40% of a copolymer formed from monomers selected from the group consisting of (meth)acrylic acid and its alkali metal salts, vinyl acetate, C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>20</sub> alkyl esters of acrylic acid, phenethyl ester of acrylic acid, hydroxyethyl acrylate, methyl methacrylate, nonyl-2-benzyl ester of acrylic acid and combinations thereof;

b) of about 3.5% of emulsifiers selected from the group consisting of ammonium nonylphenol ethoxylate sulfate, octylphenol ethoxylate and ethylene oxide/propylene oxide block copolymer; and

c) the balance water.

Referring to the copolymers formed from acrylic acid and acrylic acid esters of the invention, the alkyl esters include those made from acrylic and methacrylic acid and alcohols having from 1 to about 10 Carbons. The alkyl ester can be a straight or branched chain, and may contain aliphatic and/or aromatic groups. In one

-13-

embodiment of the invention, the ester is a methyl ester. The term copolymers encompasses terpolymers or higher orders.

According to one preferred embodiment of the invention, polymers formed from acrylic acid and acrylic acid ester monomers ranging in composition from essentially 99% mer units of the acrylic acid ester monomer down to less than 50% mer units of acrylic acid ester monomer are used. Preferably, the molecular weights range from about 4 million to about 10 million. One feature of the polymers of the invention is the high molecular weights which produce high reduced specific viscosity (RSV) in the range of from 30 up to 60 dl/g.

The polymers of the invention are water continuous. In more detail, the polymers are dispersed in water (true emulsions), where water is the continuous phase. This directly contrasts what is found in latex polymers where the polymer is dispersed in an oil phase and an inversion step is required before the polymers can be used as flocculants. According to the invention, the polymers are added to the primary liquor feed of a Bayer process neat. For purposes of the invention, neat means a polymer in a water dispersed form which can contain from 20 to 40% active polymer. Therefore, polymer is added in a very high concentration to the primary liquor feed. Normally, oil continuous liquid synthetic flocculant emulsions are first inverted to about 0.5 to 3% in process or raw water and again diluted to about 0.01 to 0.4% as product in process or raw water before being introduced to the process (since a product generally range from 15 to 50% active polymer). Dry synthetic polymers are first hydrated in process or raw water to about 0.005 to 0.4% as product (dry synthetic flocculants are about 80-95% actives).

The present invention is a copolymer of methyl acrylate (MA) and acrylic acid (AA), wherein the AA level ranging from 0.5 to 10 mole %. The technique is utilized to synthesize polymers from other acrylic esters, conjugated diolefins, monovinyl substituted aromatic compounds, vinyl acetate and other poorly water soluble vinylic compounds which would constitute the major component of the polymers. Any water soluble vinylic monomer could be copolymerized with the above monomers, as long as the level of the latter is significantly low, <10M%. Additionally, hydrophilic

-14-

monomers such as sodium styrene sulfonate and sodium vinyl sulfonate can be readily copolymerized with the above hydrophobic monomers.

Emulsifiers useful in the polymer synthesis include all types namely anionic, cationic and nonionic varieties of emulsifiers. Preferred is a combination of anionic and nonionic emulsifiers. Linear and branched alcohol sulfates, sodium (branched and linear) docecyl benzene sulfonate, sodium alpha olefin sulfonates, sulfated alkylphenol ethoxylates, sulfated linear and branched alcohol ethoxylates, quaternary ammonium salts, fatty acid salts and ethoxylates of aliphatic and aromatic phosphate esters are among the preferred anionic surfactants. Preferred nonionic emulsifiers are linear and branched alcohol ethoxylates, alkylphenol ethoxylates, ethylene oxide-propylene oxide (EP-PO) block copolymers and EO-PO block copolymers modified with ethylenediamine. Preferred cationic emulsifiers are fatty amine ethoxylates, fatty amine oxides, quaternary ammonium salts oxazoline and imidazoline based emulsifiers.

Various permutations of the emulsifiers at 2-15% based on the monomer were utilized so as to obtain a shear stable system wherein little or no gel was obtained. Other requirements for the emulsifier combination include: that it should cause minimum foaming during the deoxygenation with N<sub>2</sub> purge; extended shelf stability and easy dispersion into water.

To improve the emulsion stability during and after polymerization, polymeric stabilizers such as methyl cellulose, poly(vinyl alcohol), poly(vinyl pyrrolidone) and poly(ethylene glycol) may be used in conjunction with the above emulsifiers.

One embodiment of the invention which completely avoids foaming problems is the utilization of a silicone based antifoam at 0.1-1.0 % based on the product.

In the above polymerization, the monomer concentration ranged from 20-50%, more often at 30-40%. In order to overcome the gel problem, the polymerization was conducted as a semibatch process by adding the monomer(s) in 2-4 batches to the heel containing the emulsifier solution in the reactor. To maximize the M<sub>w</sub>, redox initiators were used at ppm levels (1-15 ppm) and they were fed continuously at a reaction temperature of 5-15°C. A cessation of the exotherm was indicative of the monomer



-15-

consumption. Thereafter, the reaction temperature was raised to 25-35°C and some additional initiators were fed to reduce the residual monomer level to <1%.

To control the molecular weight and also to minimize the long chain branching and improve the polymer linearity, chain transfer agents such as alkyl mercaptans, glycollic acid, thioglycollic acid, isopropanol and formic acid were used in the monomer phase, at 10 ppm to 10 % based on the monomer.

Redox initiators were used so that a low temperature polymerization could be effected so as to get a very high  $M_w$ . Applicable redox pairs include lower valency transition metal ions such as  $Fe^{+2}$ ,  $Cr^{+2}$ ,  $V^{+2}$ ,  $Ti^{+3}$ ,  $Co^{+2}$ ,  $Cu^{+1}$  and hydrogen peroxide or another hydroperoxide such as t-butyl or cumene hydroperoxide. Another applicable initiator type includes 2-mercaptoethanol, thioglycollic acid or thiourea oxidized by  $Fe^{+3}$ ,  $Ce^{+4}$ , bromate or persulfate ions. Moreover, combinations of inorganic reductants and oxidants such as persulfate/thiosulfate, chlorate/ferrous salt and persulfate/ferrous salt do produce radicals to initiate the polymerization.

One advantage of the neat addition of the polymers of the invention is improved settler overflow clarity. One unique feature of the invention is that when added neat or as a dilute solution, the water continuous polymers of the invention hydrolyze in situ in the Bayer process liquor itself. In more detail, the polymers formed from AA and acrylic acid esters are not themselves red mud flocculants, as they are entirely ineffective in flocculating red mud. However, when placed in the Bayer process liquor in the presence of red mud, the high alkalinity and the high temperatures hydrolyze the ester to form polymer acrylic acid ultimately. Furthermore, the composition of the invention does not hydrolyze instantly, but rather over time. Therefore, the poly AA/acrylic acid ester essentially is being activated continuously over time, ranging from the initial composition which is 100% ester for the ester portion down to eventually 100% active polyacrylic acid.

The present invention produces its surprising and unexpected results through the formation of micro-floccules of the polymer and the suspended solids; which produce a red mud which is more amenable to the bulk flocculation in the primary settler. The micro-floccules form as the polymers hydrolyze and become active

-16-

toward the red mud. For this reason, the polymers are injected upstream from the primary settler, such as in one of the flash tanks or between the flash tanks and the primary settler feed well where there is sufficient temperature and residence time to allow the hydrolysis of the polymer. It should be noted that hydrolysis is not instantaneous. The hydrolysis will progress as the polymer and mud make their way through the flash tanks down the various piping and into the primary settler.

According to one embodiment of the invention, the invention is practiced as follows. The polymer is injected into the primary settler feed, preferably into one of the lines upstream from the primary settler or, preferably, in a flash tank where there is sufficient temperature. Also, the polymer can be added in the digesters themselves.

The red mud containing liquor may be a primary settler feed, a mud washer feed, or a centrifuge feed. The feed may be from a digester blow-off, diluted digester blow-off, primary settler underflow, washer underflow, or a combination of settler and washer underflows with other process streams including but not limited to washer overflows, lake return water or raw water. The polymers described herein, when utilized to treat Bayer process red-mud containing liquor, result in an increase in both clarity and settling rate.

The polymers may also be utilized to treat the last stage washer underflow at the mud disposal site to improve mud stacking, or more rapid release of liquor from the mud. Moreover, the polymers may also be utilized for the treatment of mud filters, including but not limited to drum and vacuum filters.

It is envisaged that these polymers will also have utility in the following areas of the Bayer process: classification, flocculation, precipitation and dewatering of alumina trihydrate. Furthermore, it is also envisaged that these polymers will have utility in the following areas of the Bayer process: classification, flocculation, precipitation and dewatering of solid phase oxalate.

The following examples are presented to describe preferred embodiments and utilities of the invention and are not meant to limit the invention unless otherwise stated in the claims appended hereto.

#### **Example 1**

-17-

In a 1 liter polymerization flask fitted with baffles, 552.9g of deionized water, 19.84g of nonylphenol ethoxylate sulfate ammonium salt (58% solution), available from Rhone-Poulenc of Cranbury, New Jersey, 17.44g of Na dodecyl benzene sulfonate (23% solution) available from Rhone-Poulenc of Cranbury, New Jersey, 3.88g of EO-PO block copolymer available from BASF, Mt. Olive, New Jersey and 0.096g EDTA tetra sodium salt were taken and a solution was effected by mixing. To the clear solution, 0.19 g of Sag 2001 an antifoam available from Witco of Greenwich, CT was added, nitrogen was sparged through the solution for 15 minutes and the solution was cooled down to 10°C.

The monomers, methyl acrylate (99%) 367.2g, AA 19.19g and formic acid 0.15g were mixed in an addition funnel. A third of the monomer was added to the emulsifier solution in the reactor and the nitrogen sparge was continued for additional 10 minutes.

Ferrous sulfate heptahydrate, 0.002g, was dissolved in sulfuric acid, 0.07g, solution in water 6.9g, and the potassium chlorate, 0.0019g, was dissolved in water, 6.9g. The initiator solutions were drawn into separate syringes and fitted into metered syringe pumps.

The initiators were pumped in at a slow rate so as to maintain a constant reaction temperature, 10-13°C. When no more exotherm was seen, the initiator addition was stopped and another third of the monomer was added to the reactor. Polymerization was repeated as described above. Finally, the third lot of the monomer was added and completed the polymerization.

After completing the polymerization, the reaction temperature was raised to 25°C and held at that temperature for an hour so as to reduce the unreacted monomer level to <2%.

The polymer was collected to determine the gel No. (100 mesh screen) and hydrolyzed in caustic at 1% emulsion concentration to determine the residual monomer by liquid chromatography (for acrylic acid) and the reduced specific viscosity/intrinsic viscosity/molecular weight.

**Example 2**

In a 1 liter polymerization flask deionized water, 606.75g, ammonium nonylphenol ethoxylate sulfate (58%) 54.3g available from Rhone-Poulenc of Cranbury, New Jersey octylphenol ethoxylate (70%) 4.9g available from Rhone-Poulenc of Cranbury, New Jersey, EO-PO block copolymer 4.8g available from BASF of Mt. Olive, New Jersey, EDTA 0.2g were weighed and mixed until a clear solution resulted. Then the silicone antifoam, 5g, was added and nitrogen was sparged for 15 minutes with cooling down to 10°C.

A monomer mixture of methyl acrylate (99%) 296.7g, acrylic acid 6.3g and formic acid, 0.15g was taken into an addition funnel. A third of the monomer was added to the aqueous phase while nitrogen sparge was continued for 10 more minutes.

Potassium chlorate, 0.0035g was dissolved in 10.32g of deionized water and the ferrous sulfate heptahydrate, 0.003g was dissolved in sulfuric acid, 0.012g, in water, 10.32g. The initiator solutions were drawn into syringes and mounted onto separate syringe pumps.

Polymerization and characterizations were effected as described in Example 1.

**Example 3**

Low Mw polymer was obtained by using substantial amounts of isopropyl alcohol as the chain transfer agent in the monomer phase.

The aqueous phase consisting of the emulsifiers as describe in Example 2 was used.

The monomer phase consisted of methyl acrylate (99%) 296.6g, acrylic acid, 6.3g, and isopropyl alcohol, 16g.

Tertiary butyl hydroperoxide (70%) 0.005g was diluted with water, 10.32g and ferrous sulfate heptahydrate, 0.0035, was dissolved in sulfuric acid, 0.012g, in water, 10.32g. The solutions were fed using piston pumps as described above.

The reaction was initiated at 20°C and maintained at 20-25°C. The reaction was post heated at 40°C/1 hour. The product were analyses as described above.

**Example 4**

Table I illustrates the properties of the polymers made by the synthetic

-19-

schemes described in Examples 1 and 2, labeled as polymers 1-8. For comparative purposes, polymers 9-10 represent polymers of the approximate molecular weight disclosed by the Japanese references, as described in Example 3.

TABLE I

**Characterization of the new very high molecular weight oil-in-water emulsion polymers**

| Polymer | pMA/AA, mole % | RSV <sup>1</sup> | IV <sup>2</sup> | IV <sup>3</sup> | Mw <sup>4</sup> (x 10 <sup>6</sup> ) |
|---------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1       | 95/5           | 50.7             | 32.5            | 32.0            | 8.13                                 |
| 2       | 95/5           | 49.1             | -               | -               | 7.82                                 |
| 3       | 95/5           | 44.7             | -               | -               | 7.19                                 |
| 4       | 95/5           | 37.1             | 23.6            | -               | 5.86                                 |
| 5       | 95/5           | 34.4             | 23.0            | -               | 5.71                                 |
| 6       | 95/5           | 34.3             | -               | -               | 5.65                                 |
| 7       | 97.5/2.5       | 30.0             | 20.9            | -               | 5.18                                 |
| 8       | 95/5           | 26.3             | 17.8            | -               | 4.4                                  |
| 9       | 97.5/2.5       | 21               | -               | -               | 3.6                                  |
| 10      | 97.5/2.5       | 14               | 11.4            | 12.7            | 2.8                                  |

1 measured at polymer concentration as 0.04 % p.AA in 2 N NaNO<sub>3</sub> at 30°C

2 in 2 N NaNO<sub>3</sub> at 30°C

3 in 1 N NaCl at 30°C

4  $\eta = 5.41 \times 10^{-6} [M_w]^{0.981}$

To determine that polymers 9 and 10 are equivalent to those of the Japanese references, the following procedure was utilized.

Methods practiced to characterize polymers are Reduced Specific Viscosity (RSV) and Intrinsic Viscosity (IV) measurements. The RSV measurement may be used indirectly to compare polymer molecular weight. If RSV versus polymer concentration is plotted and the linear curve is extrapolated to zero concentration (infinite dilute) the intercept is the IV. The IV value is used to estimate the viscosity average molecular weight of the polymer via the Mark-Houwink-Sakurada equation.

$\eta = k M_w^\alpha$  which upon rearrangement gives

viscosity average  $M_w = [\eta/k]^{1/\alpha}$

where  $\eta$  is the intrinsic viscosity and the coefficients  $k$  and  $\alpha$  are constants for the particular polymer type, temperature and solvent system employed.

-20-

Upon complete hydrolysis of the methyl acrylate homopolymers (p.MA) or methyl acrylate/acrylic acid copolymers (p.MA/AA), a sodium acrylate polymer (p.NaAc) is formed. Thus, the coefficients  $k = 5.41 \times 10^{-6}$  and  $\alpha = 0.981$  for poly(sodium acrylate) in 1 M NaCl published by K. J. McCarthy, C. W. Burkhardt and D.P. Parazak (J. Applied Polymer Science, 1989, 33, 1683) were used for molecular weight determinations in this work.

Reduced Specific Viscosity measurements were made using Cannon Ubbelohde semi-micro dilution viscometers (Size 75), at  $30 \pm 0.02^\circ\text{C}$ . Unless noted, the RSV of all of the new oil-in-water polymers were determined at a constant polymer concentration, 0.04 wt % as p.AA, in a 2 N  $\text{NaNO}_3$  solution (i.e., 17%  $\text{NaNO}_3$ ). It is important to define these conditions, as the RSV value is dependent on polymer concentration and the concentration of salt. Thus, the intrinsic viscosity will also change with the solvent type and ionic strength used. For the anionic polymers considered in this work, for example, the IV value will increase as the ionic strength of the solvent is decreased (e.g., 1 N vs 2 N  $\text{NaNO}_3$ ). Since there are no universal standards, great attention must be paid to (1) the conditions employed, and (2) the values used for the constants  $k$  and  $a$  in the Mark-Houwink-Sakurada equation, when comparing polymer molecular weights referred to in the literature or patents.

Tsukawaki and Inamoto (Kao Soap Co.) were the first to report the oil-in-water emulsion polyacrylates and the application of these in the Bayer process (U.S. Patent No. 3,755,531). The Intrinsic viscosities of their methyl acrylate polymers varied from 1.6 to 7.4 as measured in acetone at  $30^\circ\text{C}$ . A polymer with IV of 3 dL/g in acetone, upon hydrolysis gave an IV of 5.5 dL/g in 2 N NaOH at  $30^\circ\text{C}$ . From this it may be extrapolated that the IV range for the Tsukawaki and Inamoto methyl acrylate polymers will be 2.9 to 13.6 in 2N NaOH.

It is essential to note that the solvent strength for Tsukawaki and Inamoto polymers is approximately half of that used for this invention (2 N NaOH = 8.0% salt while 2 N  $\text{NaNO}_3$  = 17% salt). Thus, the intrinsic viscosities of the Tsukawaki and Inamoto methyl acrylate polymers would be significantly lower, if performed in 2 N

-21-

NaNO<sub>3</sub>. For comparison purposes, the IV range of 2.9 to 13.6 dL/g for the Tsukawaki and Inamoto methyl acrylate polymers may be used to estimate molecular weights to compare them to the new polymers of this work.

Tables I and II show that the polymers disclosed herein have a molecular weight range of 4.4 to 8.1 million while the Tsukawaki and Inamoto polymers are significantly lower 0.69 to 3.3 million, a liberal estimate of the upper limit.

The application of the Tsukawaki and Inamoto methyl acrylate polymers has also been reported by K. Yamada, T. Harato and Y. Shiozaki ("Light Metals", Presented at the 109th AIME Annual Meeting, Feb. 24-28, 1980). The polymers used therein were homopolymer of methyl acrylate (obviously obtained from Tsukawaki and Inamoto) having intrinsic viscosities of 3.5 and 5.6 in acetone at 30°C.

However, also reported is a 70/30 mol % sodium acrylate/methyl acrylate copolymer with an intrinsic viscosity of 8.7 dL/g in 2 N NaOH. Again, the intrinsic viscosity of this polymer would be lower if the measurement was made in 2 N NaNO<sub>3</sub> as performed for all polymers in this work. Thus, this polymer would have a molecular weight lower than 2.1 million, by the method utilized herein.

An additional Japanese patent application by T. Kotani et al. (June 15, 1977) describes the synthesis of high molecular weight acrylate polymers of the methyl, ethyl and butyl esters. Therein, the high molecular weight polymers are reported to be in the range of 7 -50 million, with a specific example of a polymer synthesized having a molecular weight of 27 million. However, this scale is based on molecular weight calculations in an organic system. The currently utilized technique for this sort of molecular weight determination is that the determination is done in an aqueous solvent. The numerical scale is thus dependent upon the solvent system utilized. With the physical constants described by Kotani et al., the Mark-Houwink equation may be utilized along with the appropriate values for the constants  $k = 28.2 \times 10^{-3}$  and  $\alpha = 0.52$  (as obtained from the Polymer Handbook) to obtain a molecular weight equivalent in terms of the scale utilized herein to only 0.2 million. Therefore, the ultra-high molecular weight polymers obtained at that time are far smaller than those disclosed herein. In fact, molecular weight of the polymers described herein by the

-22-

analytical technique employing an organic solvent (as was done in Kotani et al.) is not applicable to the polymers disclosed herein because the surfactant package and the extremely high MW polymer precipitate and contribute to an erroneous result under the conditions described in Kotani et al. This is further evidence that the polymers disclosed herein are of far greater molecular weight than those described in Kotani et al.

Tables I and II show that the polymers described herein are much larger than those previously described. The results are summarized in Table II. These results reveal that prior to this disclosure, "ultra high molecular weight" polymers of this type were considered to be up to and including molecular weights of only about 3 million. By contrast, this invention documents far larger polymers, which are much more active than those polymers of Table II. Example 5 illustrates that such novel, much higher molecular weight polymers produce surprisingly greater settling rates and clarity in the Bayer process.

Activity tests reported herein were performed with the hydrolyzed poly sodium acrylate samples of the current invention, the true emulsion polymers. Hydrolysis was effected using 1% of the emulsion in 1% NaOH at 85°C for 20 minutes.

**Table II**

| Authors          | Polymer Type | $\eta$ (acetone) | $\eta$ (2N NaOH) | Estimated <sup>1</sup> Av. Mw<br>$\times 10^6$ |
|------------------|--------------|------------------|------------------|--|
| Tsukawaki et al. | p(MA)        | 3                | 5.5              | <1.3   |
|                  | p(MA)        | 1.6 to 7.8       | -                | <3.3   |
|                  | p(MA/MMA)    | 3.8 to 4.1       | -                | <1.83  |
| Yamada et al.    | p(NaAc/MA)   | -                | 8.7              | <2.1   |
|                  | 70/30 mol %  | -                | -                | -  |

The rate at which the new methyl acrylate polymers are hydrolyzed can be controlled by the mole ratio of caustic to polymer concentration and by the reaction temperature. As hydrolysis occurs, the polymers become more water soluble. T. Kotani et al. teach that no significant hydrolysis occurred on a 2% solution of polyacrylate latex sample at a caustic level of 0.5 - 1.0 (mol ratio of NaOH/acrylic ester), even at 100°C. over three hours. Viscosity measurements could not be obtained because their polymers were insoluble/nonhydrolyzable.



-23-

Hydrolysis of Samples 1 and 5 in Table I above, at 1%, were performed at a lower temperature, 85°C, and at a NaOH/methyl acrylate ester mole ratio of 1 for 1, 2 and 3 hours. Colloid titration was used to determine the anionic characterization and the degree of hydrolysis. The Table III below summarizes the results.

**TABLE III**

| <b>Polymer</b> | <b>Hydrolysis Time</b> | <b>% of Hydrolysis</b> |
|----------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| 1              | 1 hr                   | 29                     |
| 1              | 3 hr                   | 51                     |
| 5              | 1 hr                   | 23                     |
| 5              | 2 hr                   | 43.5                   |
| 5              | 3 hr                   | 59                     |

**Example 5****Settling Rate Test Procedure**

The following general test procedure was utilized to obtain settling rate information. A well mixed sample of settler feed slurry (red mud obtained from a mineral processing facility) was portioned out into twelve 1000-mL Nalgene graduated cylinders by filling all the cylinders to the 500-mL graduation. The remaining 500-mL are then added to the cylinders in the opposite order. These cylinders were immediately placed in an oven or hot water bath thermostatted to 100°C.

The 1000-mL sample was then transferred to a stainless steel pot and heated to 100°C with a flame. The resulting slurry was transferred back to the 1000-mL graduated cylinder.

Next, the cylinder was immediately mixed by two plunges. The plunger was a 1/8" metal rod with a #10 rubber stopper attached to the bottom end. The plunger was allowed to fall freely on the downward stroke and lifted at the same speed on the upward stroke. To test a polymer, that polymer was added to the 1000 ml graduated cylinder and mixed with four plunges.

To determine settling rate, the time for the solid/liquid interface to travel between the 900 and 700 mL marks on the cylinder was recorded. After measuring

-24-

the distance from between the two marks, the settling rate could be calculated in (ft/hr) or (m/hr) units.

Based on this information, a replacement ratio (RR) may be calculated by plotting a graph with settling rate on the Y-axis and dose on the X-axis for each product tested. The dosage required to produce the desired plant settling rate is determined from the above graph. The replacement ratio is the dose of new polymer divided by the dose of conventional treatment needed to obtain the plant settling rate. If the RR value is below one, the product is superior, if it is one, it would be equivalent, and if it is above one it is poorer in activity.

#### Clarity Test Procedure

The following procedure was utilized for measurement of overflow clarity from red mud settling tests.

A clarity ratio is evaluated as a measure of the performance of the new polymer compared to conventional polymers. This is determined as the ratio of the overflow turbidity using the new polymer versus the turbidity of the overflow for the conventional flocculant at the same specific settling rate (i.e., the plant settling rate).

The clarity of the settler overflow was simulated by determining the solids, mg/L, in a sample of the liquor in the 1000 ml graduated cylinder after a specific time after settling, (e.g., 10, 30 or 60 min). The overflow solids may be determined gravimetrically by filtering a specific volume of liquor, washing the solids with hot water and drying the solids in an oven at 100C for 2-4 hours. Alternatively, the turbidity of the overflow liquor was measured as an indirect measure of the overflow solids. The turbidity was determined using a Hach Co. turbidimeter. If the turbidity of the overflow sample is over the range of the meter, than the sample may be diluted by a specific volume using a hot 30 wt. % NaOH solution (e.g., 5 ml of overflow combined with 10 ml of 30 wt. % NaOH solution).

The activity of the polymers disclosed herein to flocculate and settle red mud was evaluated for Polymer 3 at various degrees of hydrolysis. The performance was always bench-marked against a standard sample of the conventional treatment, 100%

-25-

anionic poly(ammonium acrylate). The Replacement Ratio is defined as the ratio of the dosage of each new sample versus that of conventional treatment required to produce the same settling rate, preferably at a rate of 20 to 30 ft/hr.

From the data in Table IV it is evident that a Performance Ratio of 0.5 can be achieved if the hydrolysis is stopped after 75-80%. Beyond this point, the performance ratio increases (deteriorates) and approaches equivalence to that of the conventional treatment.

**TABLE IV**

**Settling Rate Performance Replacement Ratio of the Hydrolyzed p.NaAc.MA versus the Degree of Hydrolysis**

| <b>% Charge on Polymer 3<br/>(Degree of Hydrolysis)</b> | <b>Performance Replacement<br/>Ratio</b> |
|---|--|
| 58.8  | 1.9                                      |
| 62  | 1.0                                      |
| 69  | 0.8                                      |
| 76  | 0.58                                     |
| 77.2  | 0.5                                      |
| 77.2  | 0.48                                     |
| 78.9  | 0.8                                      |
| 81.4  | 0.5                                      |
| 87.4  | 0.8                                      |
| 94  | 0.8                                      |
| 94  | 1.0                                      |
| 100   | 0.8                                      |
| 100   | 1.1                                      |
| 100   | 1.1                                      |
| 100   | 0.9                                      |

As an additional example, the settling rate performance of a poly(MA/AA) polymer (sample 3) hydrolyzed by 80, 90 and 100% is compared against the same conventional treatment in Table V. The degree of hydrolysis was determined by colloid titration. Optimal activity was demonstrated at 75-80% hydrolysis for the p.MA emulsions.

-26-  
TABLE V

**Settling Rate Performance of a p.MA/AA Sample from 80, 90 and 100 %  
Hydrolysis versus Conventional Treatment**

| Polymer<br>Actives<br><i>ppm</i> | Settling Rates, ft/hr |                 |                  |                 |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|
|                                  | p.MA/AA<br>Sample 3   |                 |                  | CT <sup>1</sup> |
|                                  | 80 % Hydrolyzed       | 90 % Hydrolyzed | 100 % Hydrolyzed |                 |
| 1.31                             | 9.9                   | -               | -                | -               |
| 1.64                             | 14.3                  | -               | -                | -               |
| 1.72                             | -                     | -               | -                | 4.3             |
| 1.73                             | -                     | -               | 2.3              | -               |
| 1.74                             | -                     | 11.5            | -                | -               |
| 1.81                             | 22.7                  | -               | -                | -               |
| 1.91                             | -                     | 16.3            | -                | -               |
| 2.05                             | 25.8                  | -               | -                | -               |
| 2.15                             | -                     | -               | -                | 7.1             |
| 2.17                             | -                     | 17.9            | -                | -               |
| 2.43                             | -                     | 27.3            | -                | -               |
| 2.57                             | -                     | -               | -                | 13.5            |
| 2.60                             | -                     | -               | 10.4             | -               |
| 3.12                             | -                     | -               | 20.8             | -               |
| 3.18                             | -                     | -               | -                | 33.2            |
| 3.47                             | -                     | -               | 36.8             | -               |

<sup>1</sup> = conventional treatment, poly(ammonium acrylate, available from Nalco Chemical Co. of Naperville, IL.

These new polymers also afford 10-20% improvements in overflow clarity over that obtained with the conventional poly(ammonium acrylate) polymers, as in Table VI below. This result can have a significant impact on the operation of the filter processes, namely, reductions in operating costs and improvements in the alumina quality produced in the plant.

-27-

TABLE VI

**Overflow Clarity Performance at Various Settling Rates of the New Oil-in-Water Emulsions versus the Conventional Treatment**

| Settling Rates<br><i>ft/hr</i> | poly(MA/AA) |          |          | CT <sup>1</sup> |
|--------------------------------|-------------|----------|----------|-----------------|
|                                | Sample 2    | Sample 6 | Sample 9 |                 |
| 8.1                            | -           | -        | 309      | -               |
| 8.4                            | -           | -        | 205      | -               |
| 9.2                            | -           | -        | 310      | -               |
| 9.5                            | -           | -        | 208      | -               |
| 15.0                           | -           | -        | -        | 566             |
| 17.0                           | -           | 501      | -        | -               |
| 20.0                           | -           | -        | -        | 803             |
| 21.3                           | 606         | -        | -        | -               |
| 22.2                           | -           | 590      | -        | -               |
| 23.9                           | -           | 773      | -        | -               |
| 26.4                           | -           | -        | -        | 733             |
| 29.7                           | -           | -        | -        | 945             |
| 33.9                           | -           | -        | -        | 852             |
| 34.7                           | -           | 664      | -        | -               |
| 36.3                           | 659         | -        | -        | -               |
| 36.8                           | 665         | -        | -        | -               |
| 38.9                           | -           | -        | -        | 1024            |
| 39.0                           | -           | -        | -        | 852             |
| 39.4                           | 595         | -        | -        | -               |
| 43.2                           | -           | -        | -        | 1111            |
| 43.6                           | -           | 759      | -        | -               |
| 44.8                           | 738         | -        | -        | -               |

<sup>1</sup> = conventional treatment, poly(ammonium acrylate, available from Nalco Chemical Co. of Naperville, IL.

The settling rate of the oil-in-water flocculants disclosed herein also depends on the molecular weight of the polymer. For example, Table VII below summarizes the data for three samples with molecular weights ranging from 3.6 to 7.2 million. Sample 9 with molecular weight of 3.6 million can be compared to the poly(MA) polymers with similar molecular weights reported previously by Kao Soap Co.

-28-

TABLE VII

**Settling Rate Performance vs Dose of poly(MA/AA) of Varying Molecular Weight versus Conventional Treatment**

| Polymer<br>Actives<br>ppm | Settling Rates, ft/hr                |                                       |                                      |                                       |                 |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|
|                           | poly(MA/AA)                          |                                       |                                      |                                       | CT <sup>1</sup> |
|                           | Sample 2<br>8.1 x 10 <sup>6</sup> Mw | Sample 6<br>5.65 x 10 <sup>6</sup> Mw | Sample 9<br>3.6 x 10 <sup>6</sup> Mw | Sample 10<br>2.8 x 10 <sup>6</sup> Mw |                 |
| 1.43                      | 21.3                                 | -                                     | -                                    | -                                     | -               |
| 1.71                      | 36.3                                 | -                                     | -                                    | -                                     | -               |
| 1.88                      | -                                    | 17.0                                  | -                                    | -                                     | -               |
| 1.91                      | -                                    | -                                     | -                                    | -                                     | 20.0            |
| 1.91                      | -                                    | -                                     | -                                    | -                                     | 15.0            |
| 2.00                      | 36.8                                 | -                                     | -                                    | -                                     | -               |
| 2.19                      | -                                    | 22.2                                  | -                                    | -                                     | -               |
| 2.23                      | -                                    | -                                     | -                                    | -                                     | 33.9            |
| 2.29                      | 44.8                                 | -                                     | -                                    | -                                     | -               |
| 2.29                      | 39.4                                 | -                                     | -                                    | -                                     | -               |
| 2.39                      | -                                    | -                                     | -                                    | -                                     | 26.4            |
| 2.55                      | -                                    | -                                     | -                                    | -                                     | 38.9            |
| 2.66                      | -                                    | 34.7                                  | -                                    | -                                     | -               |
| 2.87                      | -                                    | -                                     | -                                    | -                                     | 43.2            |
| 2.87                      | -                                    | -                                     | -                                    | -                                     | 29.7            |
| 2.87                      | -                                    | -                                     | -                                    | -                                     | 39.0            |
| 2.88                      | -                                    | 23.9                                  | -                                    | -                                     | -               |
| 2.97                      | -                                    | 43.6                                  | -                                    | -                                     | -               |
| 3.75                      | -                                    | -                                     | 8.4                                  | -                                     | -               |
| 4.69                      | -                                    | -                                     | -                                    | 7.9                                   | -               |
| 4.69                      | -                                    | -                                     | 9.5                                  | -                                     | -               |
| 6.26                      | -                                    | -                                     | -                                    | 7.6                                   | -               |
| 7.82                      | -                                    | -                                     | 8.1                                  | -                                     | -               |
| 7.82                      | -                                    | -                                     | 9.2                                  | -                                     | -               |

<sup>1</sup> = conventional treatment, poly(ammonium acrylate, available from Nalco Chemical Co. of Naperville, IL.

These polymers also show significant improvements in performance with red muds that are difficult to settle with conventional poly(ammonium acrylate) flocculants. These muds are derived from bauxites with high levels of various impurities such as P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and aluminous goethite. As representative examples, the settling rate and clarity performance for new water continuous Sample 3 and the conventional treatment are compared on two bauxite samples from process facilities which contain high levels of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and aluminum goethite, rendering the red mud more

-29-

difficult to settle in Tables VIII and IX.

**TABLE VIII****Settling and Clarity Performance on Bauxite Mud Slurry I.**

| Actives<br>ppm | Settling Rates, ft/hr |              | Clarity, NTU Turbidity |                 |
|----------------|-----------------------|--------------|------------------------|-----------------|
|                | Sample 3              | Conventional | Sample 3               | CT <sup>1</sup> |
| 1.6            | 1.0                   | 0.7          | 401                    | -               |
| 3.1            | 4.8                   | -            | 672                    | -               |
| 3.2            | -                     | 3.3          | -                      | 967, 862        |
| 3.4            | 10.5                  | -            | 1060                   | -               |
| 3.5            | -                     | 5.2          | -                      | 1330            |
| 3.8            | -                     | 7.1          | -                      | 1415            |
| 3.9            | 20.1                  | -            | 1193                   | -               |
| 4.0            | -                     | 10.1         | -                      | 1623            |
| 4.3            | -                     | 6.8          | -                      | 1269            |
| 4.6            | -                     | 7.4          | -                      | 1422            |
| 4.7            | 26.4                  | -            | 1083                   | -               |
| 4.8            | 18.6                  | 4.4          | 980                    | 1550, 1658      |
| 5.0            | 16.2                  | -            | 887                    | -               |

<sup>1</sup> = conventional treatment, poly(ammonium acrylate, available from Nalco Chemical Co. of Naperville, IL.

**TABLE IX****Settling and Clarity Performance on Bauxite Mud Slurry II.**

| Actives<br>ppm | Settling Rates, ft/hr |              | Clarity, NTU Turbidity |                 |
|----------------|-----------------------|--------------|------------------------|-----------------|
|                | Sample 3              | Conventional | Sample 3               | CT <sup>1</sup> |
| 2.21           | 8.9                   | -            | 566                    | -               |
| 2.23           | -                     | 4.2          | -                      | 605             |
| 2.84           | 37.4                  | -            | 555                    | -               |
| 2.87           | -                     | 16.5         | -                      | 693             |
| 3.16           | 21.4                  | -            | 792                    | -               |
| 3.19           | -                     | 18.4         | -                      | 927             |
| 3.47           | 47.4                  | -            | 549                    | -               |
| 3.79           | 68.9                  | -            | -                      | -               |
| 3.95           | 67.3                  | -            | 578                    | -               |
| 3.98           | -                     | 39.9         | -                      | 736             |
| 3.98           | -                     | 35.7         | -                      | -               |
| 4.62           | -                     | 38.8         | -                      | -               |
| 4.74           | 94.3                  | -            | 658                    | -               |
| 4.78           | -                     | 34.3         | -                      | 953             |
| 5.10           | -                     | 28.7         | -                      | 929             |

<sup>1</sup> = conventional treatment, poly(ammonium acrylate, available from Nalco Chemical Co. of Naperville, IL.

-30-

The present invention is illustrated by way of the foregoing description and examples. The foregoing description is intended as a non-limiting illustration, since many variations will become apparent to those skilled in the art in view thereof. It is intended that all such variations within the scope and spirit of the appended claims be embraced thereby.

#### Settling Rate Test Procedure

The following general test procedure was utilized to obtain settling rate information. A well mixed sample of settler feed slurry (red mud obtained from a mineral processing facility) was portioned out into twelve 1000-mL Nalgene graduated cylinders by filling all the cylinders to the 500-mL graduation. The remaining 500-mL are then added to the cylinders in the opposite order. These cylinders were immediately placed in an oven or hot water bath thermostatted to 100°C.

The 1000-mL sample was then transferred to a stainless steel pot and heated to 100°C with a flame. The resulting slurry was transferred back to the 1000-mL graduated cylinder.

Next, the cylinder was immediately mixed by two plunges. The plunger was a 1/8" metal rod with a #10 rubber stopper attached to the bottom end. The plunger was allowed to fall freely on the downward stroke and lifted at the same speed on the upward stroke. To test a polymer, that polymer was added to the 1000 ml graduated cylinder and mixed with four plunges.

To determine settling rate, the time for the solid/liquid interface to travel between the 900 and 700 mL marks on the cylinder was recorded. After measuring the distance between the two marks, the settling rate could be calculated in (ft/hr) or (m/hr) units.

Based on this information, a replacement ratio (RR) may be calculated by plotting a graph with settling rate on the Y-axis and dose on the X-axis for each product tested. The dosage required to produce the desired plant settling rate is



-31-

determined from the above graph. The replacement ratio is the dose of new polymer divided by the dose of conventional treatment needed to obtain the plant settling rate. If the RR value is below one, the product is superior, if it is one, it would be equivalent, and if it is above one it is poorer in activity.

#### Clarity Test Procedure

The following procedure was utilized for measurement of overflow clarity from red mud settling tests.

A clarity ratio is evaluated as a measure of the performance of the new polymer compared to conventional polymers. This is determined as the ratio of the overflow turbidity using the new polymer versus the turbidity of the overflow for the conventional flocculant at the same specific settling rate (i.e., the plant settling rate).

The clarity of the settler overflow was simulated by determining the solids, mg/L, in a sample of the liquor in the 1000 ml graduated cylinder after a specific time after settling, (e.g., 10, 30 or 60 min). The overflow solids may be determined gravimetrically by filtering a specific volume of liquor, washing the solids with hot water and drying the solids in an oven at 100C for 2-4 hours. Alternatively, the turbidity of the overflow liquor was measured as an indirect measure of the overflow solids. The turbidity was determined using a Hach Co. turbidimeter. If the turbidity of the overflow sample is over the range of the meter, then the sample may be diluted to a specific volume using a hot 30 wt. % NaOH solution (e.g., 5 ml of overflow combined with 10 ml of 30 wt. % NaOH solution).

The high molecular weight homopolymer synthesized according to the procedure of Example 4 was tested as described above to demonstrate flocculation capabilities, in comparison to a conventional treatment. The results are detailed in Table IV. Thus the p(MA) product gave comparable results to a conventional treatment as evidenced by a replacement ratio (based on polymer actives) of 1.3 and a clarity ratio near 1.0.

-32-

**Table X. Settling and Clarity Performance of a new poly(methyl acrylate) flocculant sample versus Conventional Treatment**

| Flocculant Product                 | RSV (dL/g) | Polymer Actives (ppm) | Settling Rate (ft/hr) | Clarity @ 10 min (NTU) |
|------------------------------------|------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| p(MA) <sup>1</sup>                 | 28.3       | 1.33                  | 14.7                  | 598                    |
|                                    |            | 1.60                  | 21.8                  | 604                    |
|                                    |            | 1.86                  | 28.1                  | 583                    |
|                                    |            | 2.13                  | 34.7                  | 540                    |
|                                    |            | 2.66                  | 38.1                  | 542                    |
|                                    |            | 2.93                  | 49.4                  | 559                    |
| p(NH <sub>4</sub> Ac) <sup>2</sup> | 32.0       | 0.98                  | 12.8                  | 654                    |
|                                    |            | 1.63                  | 37.6                  | 540                    |
|                                    |            | 1.95                  | 56.8                  | 442                    |
|                                    |            | 3.25                  | 73.4                  | 416                    |

<sup>1</sup>= poly(methyl acrylate) prepared according to the procedure of Example 4

<sup>2</sup>= poly(ammonium acrylate) conventional treatment solution, polymer available from Nalco Chemical Company of Naperville, Illinois.

The copolymer combination of high and lower molecular weight p(MA/AA) copolymers was tested according to the procedures described above. The results are given in Table V. When a p(MA/AA) copolymer with RSV of 14-21 dL/g is applied first to the mud slurry followed by the addition of a small amount of the ultra high molecular weight p(MA/AA) polymer having RSV of 34-49 dL/g, overflow clarities can be improved by 40 to 70%.

-33-

**Table XI Settling and Clarity Performance for single product us combination of low and high molecular weight products**

| Flocculant                         | Polymer <sup>1</sup> | RSV   | Dose1 (mL) | Dose 2 (mL) | Actives Dose (ppm) | Settling Rate (ft/hr) | Turbidity @ 10 min. (NTU) |
|------------------------------------|----------------------|-------|------------|-------------|--------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| p(NH <sub>4</sub> Ac) <sup>2</sup> |                      | 32    | 2.3        | 2.3         | 1.5                | 14.6                  | 649                       |
| p(NH <sub>4</sub> Ac) <sup>2</sup> |                      | 32    | 2          | 3           | 1.6                | 15.1                  | 681                       |
| p(NH <sub>4</sub> Ac) <sup>2</sup> |                      | 32    | 3          | 3           | 1.9                | 42.9                  | 795                       |
| p(MA/AA)                           | 5                    | 34    | 3          | 3           | 1.9                | 13.6                  | 1010                      |
| p(MA/AA)                           | 5                    | 34.5  | 3.5        | 3.5         | 2.2                | 27.6                  | 1326                      |
| p(MA/AA)                           | 5                    | 34.5  | 3.5        | 3.5         | 2.2                | 23                    | 1238                      |
| p(MA/AA)                           | 5                    | 34.5  | 4          | 4           | 2.5                | 30.5                  | 1195                      |
| p(MA/AA)                           | 5                    | 34.5  | 4          | 4           | 2.5                | 48                    | 1319                      |
| p(MA/AA)                           | 5                    | 34.5  | 4          | 3           | 1.9                | 29.1                  | 852                       |
| p(MA/AA)                           | 9                    | 21    | 8          | 8           | 5.4                | 14.4                  | 248                       |
| p(MA/AA)                           | 9 followed by 5      | 21/34 | 10         | 1           | 3.7                | 18.6                  | 399                       |
| p(MA/AA)                           | 9 followed by 5      | 21/34 | 15         | 1           | 5.4                | 22.4                  | 383                       |
| p(MA/AA)                           | 9 followed by 5      | 21/34 | 20         | 0.5         | 6.9                | 29.2                  | 187                       |
| p(MA/AA)                           | 9 followed by 5      | 21/34 | 20         | 0.5         | 6.9                | 31.5                  | 411                       |
| p(MA/AA)                           | 10                   | 14    | 12         | 12          | 7.9                | 7.9                   | 217                       |
| p(MA/AA)                           | 10 followed by 5     | 14    | 10         | 2           | 3.9                | 9.8                   | 262                       |
| p(MA/AA)                           | 10 followed by 5     | 14    | 16         | 1           | 5.6                | 17.1                  | 224                       |
| p(MA/AA)                           | 10 followed by 5     | 14    | 16         | 22          | 5.9                | 21.5                  | 411                       |
| p(MA/AA)                           | 9 followed by 1      | 21/51 | 10         | 1           | 3.3                | 14.8                  | 840                       |
| p(MA/AA)                           | 9 followed by 1      | 21/51 | 15         | 1           | 4.9                | 33.7                  | 959                       |
| p(MA/AA)                           | 9 followed by 1      | 21/51 | 20         | 0.5         | 6.3                | 31.6                  | 842                       |
| p(MA/AA)                           | 9 followed by 1      | 21/51 | 20         | 0.5         | 6.3                | 27                    | 784                       |
| p(MA/AA)                           | 9 followed by 1      | 21/51 | 20         | 1           | 6.4                | 48.7                  | 1000                      |

<sup>1</sup>= refers to polymers described in Table I

<sup>2</sup>= poly(ammonium acrylate) conventional treatment solution, polymer available from Nalco Chemical Company of Naperville, Illinois.

This illustrates that improved clarity is obtained using combination of the low and high molecular weight samples. Tests with addition of only the low molecular weight samples do not give settling rates in the desired range 10-50 ft/hr as indicated in Table VI.

Using the procedures described above, poly(MA/AA) copolymers of different molecular weights as treated singly are compared to conventional treatments.

**Table XII. Settling and Clarity Performance of p(MA/AA)  
versus pNH<sub>4</sub>Ac - Single addition of the flocculant.**

| Flocculant                         | Polymer | RSV<br>(dl/g) | Dosage <sup>1</sup><br>(ppm) | Settling<br>Rate (ft/hr) | Overflow Clarity<br>as Turbidity (NTU) |
|------------------------------------|---------|---------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| p(NH <sub>4</sub> Ac) <sup>2</sup> |         | 32            | 1.91                         | 15.0                     | 566                                    |
| p(NH <sub>4</sub> Ac) <sup>2</sup> |         | 32            | 1.91                         | 20.0                     | 803                                    |
| p(NH <sub>4</sub> Ac) <sup>2</sup> |         | 32            | 22.3                         | 33.9                     | 852                                    |
| p(NH <sub>4</sub> Ac) <sup>2</sup> |         | 32            | 2.39                         | 26.4                     | 733                                    |
| p(NH <sub>4</sub> Ac) <sup>2</sup> |         | 32            | 2.55                         | 38.9                     | 1024                                   |
| p(NH <sub>4</sub> Ac) <sup>2</sup> |         |               |                              |                          |  |
| p(NH <sub>4</sub> Ac) <sup>2</sup> |         | 32            | 2.87                         | 39.0                     | 852                                    |
| p(NH <sub>4</sub> Ac) <sup>2</sup> |         | 32            | 2.87                         | 43.2                     | 1111                                   |
| p(MA/AA)                           | 2       | 49            | 1.42                         | 21.3                     | 606                                    |
| p(MA/AA)                           | 2       | 49            | 1.71                         | 36.3                     | 659                                    |
| p(MA/AA)                           | 2       | 49            | 2.00                         | 36.8                     | 665                                    |
| p(MA/AA)                           | 2       | 49            | 2.28                         | 39.4                     | 595                                    |
| p(MA/AA)                           | 2       | 49            | 2.28                         | 44.8                     | 738                                    |
| p(MA/AA)                           | 5       | 34            | 1.88                         | 17.0                     | 501                                    |
| p(MA/AA)                           | 5       | 34            | 2.19                         | 22.2                     | 590                                    |
| p(MA/AA)                           | 5       | 34            | 2.88                         | 23.9                     | 773                                    |
| p(MA/AA)                           | 5       | 34            | 2.66                         | 34.7                     | 664                                    |
| p(MA/AA)                           | 5       | 34            | 2.97                         | 43.6                     | 759                                    |
| p(MA/AA)                           | 9       | 21            | 3.75                         | 8.4                      | 205                                    |
| p(MA/AA)                           | 9       | 21            | 4.69                         | 9.5                      | 208                                    |
| p(MA/AA)                           | 9       | 21            | 7.82                         | 8.1                      | 309                                    |
| p(MA/AA)                           | 9       | 21            | 7.82                         | 9.2                      | 310                                    |
| p(MA/AA)                           | 10      | 14            | 4.69                         | 7.9                      | 159                                    |
| p(MA/AA)                           | 10      | 14            | 6.26                         | 7.9                      | 276                                    |

1= refers to polymers described in Table I

2= poly(ammonium acrylate) conventional treatment solution, polymer available from Nalco Chemical Company of Naperville, Illinois

3= polymer actives

In the absence of any treatment, settling rate would be less than 1 ft/hr and clarity would be >4000 NTU for reference to Tables IV-VI.

The results in Table V show that the sequential addition produces acceptable settling rates and clarity, while a single treatment can give good clarity but not acceptable settling rates.

#### **Example 6**

A 19:6:75 mole ratio poly(vinyl acetate/acrylic acid/methyl acrylate) was synthesized in the following manner. In a liter polymerization flask fitted with baffles, 295.0g of deionized water, 10.34 of nonylphenol ethoxylate sulfate

-35-

ammonium salt (58% solution), available from Rhone-Poulenc of Cranbury, New Jersey, 9.02g of sodium dodecyl benzene sulfonate (23% solution) available from Rhone-Poulenc of Cranbury, New Jersey, 2.0g of EO-PO block copolymer available from BASF, Mt. Olive, New Jersey were taken and a solution was effected by mixing. To the resultant clear solution, 0.19g of SAG 2001, an antifoam available from Witco of Greenwich, CT and vinyl acetate (99%) 40.8g was added, nitrogen was sparged through the solution for 15 minutes and the solution was cooled down to 10°C.

Methyl acrylate (99%) 151.1g, AA 10.0g, and, glycolic acid 0.2g were mixed in an addition funnel. A third of this mixture was added to the emulsifier solution in the reactor and the nitrogen sparge was continued for additional 10 minutes.

Ferrous sulfate heptahydrate, 0.0035g, was dissolved with sulfuric acid, 0.1g, solution in water 9.65g, and the potassium chlorate, 0.0032g, was dissolved in water, 0.68g. The initiator solutions were drawn into separate syringes and fitted into metered syringe pumps.

The initiators were pumped in a slow rate so as to maintain a constant reaction temperature, 10-13°C. When no more exotherm was seen, the initiator addition was stopped and another third of the monomer was added to the reactor. Polymerization was repeated as described above. Finally, the third lot of the monomer was added and completed the polymerization.

After completing the polymerization, the reaction temperature was raised to 25°C and held at that temperature for an hour so as to reduce the unreacted monomer level to <2%.

The polymer was collected to determine the gel No. (100 mesh screen) and hydrolyzed in caustic at 1% emulsion concentration to determine the residual monomer by liquid chromatography (for acrylic acid). The reduced specific viscosity of the resulting copolymer/terpolymer of vinyl alcohol (vinyl acetate)/acrylic acid (methyl acrylate) was 30 dL/g in 2N NaNO<sub>3</sub> @ 0.04% polymer concentration.

#### **Example 7**

The following tests were utilized to determine the effectiveness of the polymers for red mud applications.

-36-

Settling Rate Test Procedure

To obtain settling rate information, a well mixed sample of settler feed slurry (red mud obtained from a mineral processing facility) was portioned out into twelve 1000-mL Nalgene graduated cylinders by filling all the cylinders to the 500-mL graduation. The remaining 500-mL are then added to the cylinders in the opposite order. These cylinders were immediately placed in an oven or hot water bath thermostated to 100°C.

The 1000-mL sample was then transferred to a stainless steel pot and heated to 100°C with a flame. The resulting slurry was transferred back to the 1000-mL graduated cylinder.

Next, the cylinder was immediately mixed by two plunges. The plunger was a 1/8" metal rod with a #10 rubber stopper attached to the bottom end. The plunger was allowed to fall freely on the downward stroke and lifted at the same speed on the upward stroke. To test a polymer, that polymer was added to the 1000 ml graduated cylinder and mixed with four plunges.

To determine settling rate, the time for the solid/liquid interface to travel between the 900 and 700 mL marks on the cylinder was recorded. After measuring the distance between the two marks, the settling rate could be calculated in (ft/hr) or (m/hr) units.

Specifically, the mud settling tests on thickener feed slurry were completed to examine the impact of polymer composition on supernatant clarity and liquor filtration rates at equal settling rate. The polymers were prepared as 1% solutions by weight, and further diluted to 0.2% solutions for testing.

Clarity Test Procedure

The following procedure was utilized for measurement of overflow clarity from red mud settling tests.

A clarity ratio is evaluated as a measure of the performance of the new polymer compared to conventional polymers. This is determined as the ratio of the overflow turbidity using the new polymer versus the turbidity of the overflow for the conventional flocculant at the same specific settling rate (i.e., the plant settling rate).

-37-

The clarity of the settler overflow was simulated by determining the solids, mg/L, in a sample of the liquor in the 1000 ml graduated cylinder after a specific time after settling, (e.g., 10, 30 or 60 min). The overflow solids may be determined gravimetrically by filtering a specific volume of liquor, washing the solids with hot water and drying the solids in an oven at 100°C for 2-4 hours. Alternatively, the turbidity of the overflow liquor was measured as an indirect measure of the overflow solids. The turbidity was determined using a Hach Co. turbidimeter. If the turbidity of the overflow sample is over the range of the meter, then the sample may be diluted to a specific volume using a hot 30 wt. % NaOH solution (e.g., 5 ml of overflow combined with 10 ml of 30 wt. % NaOH solution).

#### Filtration Rate Test Procedure

Laboratory settling tests were performed at 95°C, using the standard method; flocculating plant thickener feed slurry under constant temperature conditions. A single water bath contains 18 settling cylinders, 3 rows of 6 cylinders. An entire row of cylinders is settled at the same time, with approximately the same shear in each cylinder, using a multiple plunger system. Multiple repeats of cylinders in each row and repeats of each row in the same water bath ensure reproducibility. Once flocculated, the liquor was allowed to settle for an additional 25 minutes. An aliquot (100 ml) of liquor was decanted off and the filtration rate through a pre-weighed filter paper was measured in seconds. The clarity of the aliquot was determined by weight (mg/L) after washing and drying the filter paper. To assess clarity and filtration times appropriate doses of each flocculant were used such that approximately the same settling rates for all cylinders was obtained.

For all settling test, Polymer A (poly(ammonium acrylate)) was activated as a 1% solution in spent liquor, then diluted, with further spent liquor to 0.2%. The flocculants, Polymer 6, Polymer 12 and Polymer 13 were dissolved as a 1% solution into 10 g/L NaOH at 95°C for 30 minutes, then further diluted, with 10 g/L NaOH to 0.2%.

Below is a summary of the results given in separate water bath tests. The averages of settling rate, clarity and filtration rate were calculated for each bath.

-38-

Filtration times can be effectively compared for different polymers which produce approximately the same settling rate. A shorter filtration time value means that the flocculant is aiding filtration more efficiently. In each case, Table IV shows that upon comparison to conventional treatment Polymer A, the copolymers of the instant invention improve filtration rates. It is expected that the terpolymer would have the same positive effect. A lower clarity number is preferable since it indicates that there are lower solids in the overflow liquor which effectively allows a refinery to filter a larger amount of liquor before regenerating the filter aid.

**Table XIII**

| Polymer | Dose (ml) | Plunges | Settling rate (m/hr) | Clarity (mg/l) | Filtration Time (sec) |
|---------|-----------|---------|----------------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| A       | 7         | 10      | 10.3                 | 573.3          | 42.3                  |
| 6       | 13        | 15      | 12.7                 | 473.2          | 34.0                  |
| A       | 7         | 10      | 10.5                 | 656.8          | 36.7                  |
| 12      | 11        | 10      | 13.2                 | 519.8          | 31.7                  |
| A       | 6         | 10      | 10.8                 | 568.0          | 39.2                  |
| 12      | 6         | 10      | 9.2                  | 464.0          | 24.2                  |
| A       | 6         | 10      | 11.5                 | 625.8          | 48.8                  |
| 13      | 5         | 10      | 11.3                 | 508.0          | 31.3                  |

Polymer A = Conventional poly(ammonium acrylate) homopolymer inverse emulsion available from Nalco Chemical Co. of Naperville, IL.

#### Scale Test

Decanted supernatant liquor from laboratory settling tests was used to compare the hydrate scale inhibiting tendency of various flocculants.

Standard laboratory red mud settling tests were performed at a constant temperature of 95°C. Red mud slurry was collected from the Bayer process, split the slurry into a series of 1000 ml plastic (poly-methyl pentene) cylinders, and flocculants were added at various doses to each cylinder to settle the majority of the red mud leaving a clearer supernatant liquor. Tests of this nature are commonly used to test flocculants, simulating the primary red mud separation step of the Bayer process.

Each supernatant liquor sample was then filtered through a Whatman 540 filter paper fitted to a Buchner funnel under vacuum. The intent was to remove suspended



-39-

solids from the supernate to a level comparable to the level achieved through commercial pressure filtration. A 300ml sample of filtered supernatant was collected.

200 ml of these liquors were placed in 250 ml bottles held constant at 75°C for 20-22 hours in a rotating water bath. Upon removing the bottles from the water bath, the liquors were quenched with gluconate solution to prevent further hydrate precipitation after completion of the experiment. All solids were removed from bottles, filtered, hot water washed, dried at 116°C and the yield recorded.

Table XIV

| Polymer | Dose (ppm) | Settling rate (m/hr) | Yield (gm) | Yield % |
|---------|------------|----------------------|------------|---------|
| A       | 9          | 14.2                 | 8.55       | 100     |
| A       | 9          | 14.2                 | 8.48       | 100     |
| 6       | 9          | 9.0                  | 8.50       | 100%    |
| 6       | 9          | 9.0                  | 8.60       | 101%    |
| 6       | 15         | 17.7                 | 8.86       | 104%    |
| 6       | 15         | 17.7                 | 9.00       | 106%    |
| 11      | 9          | 3.8                  | 2.50       | 29%     |
| 11      | 9          | 3.8                  | 2.52       | 30%     |
| 11      | 15         | 8.4                  | 2.34       | 27%     |
| 11      | 15         | 8.4                  | 2.68       | 31%     |

Polymer A = Conventional poly(ammonium acrylate) homopolymer inverse emulsion available from Nalco Chemical Co. of Naperville, IL.

The amount of scale recovered was reported as yield in grams. A lower number indicates that less scale was deposited, meaning that the polymeric treatment agent was more efficient at preventing scale deposition, an undesirable occurrence in the mining operation. Conventional treatment A's yield was taken as 100%, Copolymer 6 was as efficient as the conventional treatment at preventing scale deposition, and terpolymer 11 was much more efficient at preventing scale deposition.

Changes can be made in the composition, operation and arrangement of the method of the present invention described herein without departing from the concept and scope of the invention as defined in the following claims:

**Claims**

1. A method for treating Bayer process red mud-containing liquor comprising the step of: adding an effective treating amount of an emulsion polymer having high intrinsic viscosity, wherein said intrinsic viscosity in 2N NaNO<sub>3</sub> at 30°C is at least about 15 dL/g.
2. The method of claim 1 for clarifying said liquor comprising the steps of:
  - a) adding to said liquor an effective clarifying amount of an emulsion terpolymer,
  - b) forming a red mud phase and a clarified liquor phase; and
  - c) removing said clarified liquor phase.
3. The method of claim 2 wherein said terpolymer is formed from free radical polymerization of monomers selected from the group consisting of: methyl acrylate, methyl methacrylate, acrylic acid and vinyl acetate. .
4. The method of claim 3 wherein said terpolymer is poly (methyl acrylate/acrylic acid/vinyl acetate). .
5. The method of claim 2 wherein said terpolymer has an intrinsic viscosity in 2N NaNO<sub>3</sub> of from about 15 to about 40 dL/g.
6. The method of claim 2 wherein said terpolymer is from about 60 to about 90 percent hydrolyzed in said red mud-containing liquor.
7. The method of claim 2 wherein said emulsion terpolymer is partially hydrolyzed prior to adding said emulsion terpolymer to said liquor.
8. The method of claim 1 for inhibiting scale formation on Bayer Process equipment in contact with Bayer process streams containing scale-forming components which comprises the steps of: adding to said streams an effective scale inhibiting amount of an emulsion polymer selected from the group consisting of: emulsion copolymer formed from free radical polymerization of monomers methyl acrylate and acrylic acid having a mole ratio of methyl acrylate to acrylic acid of from about 85:15 to about 99.9:0.1 and wherein said copolymer has an intrinsic viscosity in 2N NaNO<sub>3</sub> at 30° C of at least 18 dL/g.; emulsion homopolymer of methyl acrylate having an intrinsic viscosity in 2N NaNO<sub>3</sub> at 30°C of at least 15 dl/g, emulsion

-41-

terpolymer, and a combination of a high molecular weight emulsion copolymer formed from monomers methyl acrylate and acrylic acid having intrinsic viscosity in 2N NaNO<sub>3</sub> at 30°C of from at least 19 dL/g with a lower molecular weight emulsion copolymer formed from monomers methyl acrylate and acrylic acid having intrinsic viscosity in 2N NaNO<sub>3</sub> at 30°C of from about 5 to about 18 dL/g.

9. The method of claim 8 wherein said equipment is a digester.
10. The method of claim 8 wherein said streams are spent liquor streams.
11. The method of claim 8 wherein said terpolymer is formed from free radical polymerization of monomers selected from the group consisting of: methyl acrylate, methyl methacrylate, acrylic acid and vinyl acetate.
12. The method of claim 8 wherein said terpolymer is poly (methyl acrylate/acrylic acid/vinyl acetate).
13. The method of claim 8 wherein said polymer is from about 60 to about 90 percent hydrolyzed in said red-mud containing liquor.
14. The method of claim 8 wherein said emulsion polymer is partially hydrolyzed prior to adding said polymer to said liquor.
15. The method of claim 1 for filtering slurries obtained in said Bayer Process comprising the steps of: adding to said slurry prior to filtration from about 1 to about 50 mg/l of an emulsion polymer selected from the group consisting of: emulsion copolymer formed from free radical polymerization of monomers methyl acrylate and acrylic acid having a mole ratio of methyl acrylate to acrylic acid of from about 85:15 to about 99.9:0.1 and wherein said copolymer has an intrinsic viscosity in 2N NaNO<sub>3</sub> at 30° C of at least 18 dL/g.; emulsion homopolymer of methyl acrylate having an intrinsic viscosity in 2N NaNO<sub>3</sub> at 30°C of at least 15 dl/g, emulsion terpolymer, and a combination of a high molecular weight emulsion copolymer formed from monomers methyl acrylate and acrylic acid having intrinsic viscosity in 2N NaNO<sub>3</sub> at 30°C of from at least 19 dL/g with a lower molecular weight emulsion copolymer formed from monomers methyl acrylate and acrylic acid having intrinsic viscosity in 2N NaNO<sub>3</sub> at 30°C of from about 5 to about 18 dL/g to improve filtration rate of said slurries.

-42-

16. The method of claims 15 wherein said terpolymer is formed from free radical polymerization of monomers selected from the group consisting of: methyl acrylate, methyl methacrylate, acrylic acid and vinyl acetate.

17. The method of claim 15 wherein said terpolymer is poly (methyl acrylate/acrylic acid/vinyl acetate).

18. The method of claim 15 wherein said polymer is from about 60 to about 90 percent hydrolyzed in said red mud-containing liquor.

19. The method of claim 15 wherein said polymer is partially hydrolyzed prior to adding said polymer to said liquor.

20. The method of claim 15 further comprising addition of a filtration aid selected from the group consisting of: lime solids, slaked lime and calcium aluminate to said slurry.

21. The method of claim 15 wherein said filtration is effected by a filter selected from the group consisting of Kelly filter presses and sand filters.

22. The method of claim 15 wherein said slurries are liquors resulting from flocculation of red mud slurries.

23. A method for manufacturing high molecular weight emulsion copolymers by free radical polymerization of monomers comprising the steps of :

- a) adding from about 1.5% to about 4.0% (based on copolymer) of at least one surfactant solution to a reactor;
- b) adding an amount from about 0.1% to about 1.0% (based on copolymer) of an antifoam to said solution;
- c) cooling the solution formed in step b to 10° C;
- d) adding one third of the total amount of said monomers to the cooled solution of step c); and,
- e) slowly adding from about 1 ppm to about 5 ppm of initiators to the solution of step d) and
- f) repeating said steps d and e twice more until all of said monomers have been consumed, whereby polymerization is effected.

24. The method of claim 23 wherein said surfactant is selected from the

-43-

group consisting of sodium dodecyl benzene sulfonate, C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>10</sub> linear and branched alkyl phenol ethoxylates, ethylene-oxide/propylene oxide block copolymers, sodium lauryl sulfate, ammonium sulfate salt of C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>10</sub> linear or branched alkyl phenol ethoxylates, sodium salt of C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>10</sub> linear or branched alkyl phenol and combinations thereof.

25. The method of claim 23 wherein said surfactant is a combination of ammonium nonylphenol ethoxylate sulfate, octylphenol ethoxylate and ethylene oxide/propylene oxide block copolymer.

26. The method of claim 25 wherein said surfactants are in a ratio of from 55:15:30 to 85:6:9 of ammonium sulfate salt of nonylphenol ethoxylate, octylphenol ethoxylate and ethylene oxide/propylene oxide block copolymer.

27. The method of claim 25 wherein said surfactants are in a ratio of 79:9:12 of ammonium sulfate salt of nonylphenol ethoxylate, octylphenol ethoxylate and ethylene oxide/propylene oxide block copolymer.

28. The method of claim 23 wherein said initiators are selected from the group consisting of redox pairs of transition metal ions/peroxides and inorganic reductants/oxidants.

29. The method of claim 23 wherein said initiators are potassium chlorate and ferrous sulfate heptahydrate.

30. The method of claim 23 wherein said monomers are selected from the group consisting of (meth)acrylic acid and its alkali metal salts, vinyl acetate, C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>20</sub> alkyl esters of acrylic acid, phenethyl ester of acrylic acid, hydroxyethyl acrylate, methyl methacrylate, nonyl-2-benzyl ester of acrylic acid and combinations thereof.

31. The method of claim 30 wherein said acrylic acid ester is methyl acrylate.

32. The method of claim 30 wherein said monomers are methyl acrylate and acrylic acid.

33. The method of claim 32 wherein said copolymer is from about 60 to about 90 percent hydrolyzed.

34. The method of claim 32 wherein said copolymer is from about 75 to

-44-

about 80 percent hydrolyzed.

35. The method of claim 32 wherein said copolymer has a mole ratio of methyl acrylate to acrylic acid of from about 90:10 to about 99.5:0.5.

36. The method of claim 32 wherein said copolymer has a mole ratio of methyl acrylate to acrylic acid of 97.5:2.5.

37. The method of claim 32 wherein said copolymer has an intrinsic viscosity in 2N NaNO<sub>3</sub> at 30° C of from about 20 to about 40 dL/g.

38. A high molecular weight emulsion of a copolymer formed from the free radical polymerization of monomers wherein said polymer has an intrinsic viscosity in 2N NaNO<sub>3</sub> at 30°C of at least 18 dL/g comprising:

- a) from about 15 to about 60% of a copolymer formed from monomers selected from the group consisting of (meth)acrylic acid and its alkali metal salts, vinyl acetate, C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>20</sub> alkyl esters of acrylic acid, phenethyl ester of acrylic acid, hydroxyethyl acrylate, methyl methacrylate, nonyl-2-benzyl ester of acrylic acid and combinations thereof;
- b) from about 1 to about 10% of emulsifiers selected from the group consisting of ammonium nonylphenol ethoxylate sulfate, octylphenol ethoxylate and ethylene oxide/propylene oxide block copolymer; and
- c) the balance water.

39. A high molecular weight emulsion of a copolymer formed from the free radical polymerization of monomers wherein said polymer has an intrinsic viscosity in 2N NaNO<sub>3</sub> at 30°C of at least 18 dL/g comprising:

- a) from about 20 to about 50% of a copolymer formed from monomers selected from the group consisting of (meth)acrylic acid and its alkali metal salts, vinyl acetate, C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>20</sub> alkyl esters of acrylic acid, phenethyl ester of acrylic acid, hydroxyethyl acrylate, methyl methacrylate, nonyl-2-benzyl ester of acrylic acid and combinations thereof.
- b) from about 2 to about 17% of emulsifiers selected from the group

-45-

consisting of ammonium nonylphenol ethoxylate sulfate, octylphenol ethoxylate and ethylene oxide/propylene oxide block copolymer; and

c) the balance water.

40. A high molecular weight emulsion of a copolymer formed from the free radical polymerization of monomers wherein said polymer has an intrinsic viscosity in 2N NaNO<sub>3</sub> at 30°C of at least 18 dL/g comprising:

a) from about 30 to about 40% of a copolymer formed from monomers selected from the group consisting of (meth)acrylic acid and its alkali metal salts, vinyl acetate, C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>20</sub> alkyl esters of acrylic acid, phenethyl ester of acrylic acid, hydroxyethyl acrylate, methyl methacrylate, nonyl-2-benzyl ester of acrylic acid and combinations thereof;

b) of about 3.5% of emulsifiers selected from the group consisting of ammonium nonylphenol ethoxylate sulfate, octylphenol ethoxylate and ethylene oxide/propylene oxide block copolymer; and

c) the balance water.

41. The emulsion of claim 40 wherein said monomers are methyl acrylate and acrylic acid.

42. The emulsion of claim 41 wherein said copolymer is from about 60 to about 90 percent hydrolyzed.

43. The emulsion of claim 41 wherein said copolymer is from about 75 to about 80 percent hydrolyzed.

44. The emulsion of claim 41 wherein said copolymer has a mole ratio of methyl acrylate to acrylic acid of from about 90:10 to about 99.5:0.5.

45. The emulsion of claim 41 wherein said copolymer has a mole ratio of methyl acrylate to acrylic acid of 97.5:2.5.

46. The emulsion of claim 41 wherein said copolymer has an intrinsic viscosity in 2N NaNO<sub>3</sub> at 30° C of from about 20 to about 40 dL/g.

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US98/26207

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(6) :B01D 21/01

US CL :210/698

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : 210/698, 701, 725, 727, 728, 733, 734, 778; 423/121, 122; 524/736, 745, 747, 755, 761, 762, 832, 833; 526/80

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

NONE

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

NONE

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

| Category* | Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages | Relevant to claim No. |
|-----------|--|-----------------------|
| Y         | US 5,286,391 A (MALITO ET AL.) 15 February 1994, see entire document.              | 1-46                  |
| Y         | US 5,415,782 A (DIMAS) 16 May 1995, see entire document.                           | 8-14                  |
| Y         | US 5,387,405 A (CONNELLY ET AL.) 07 February 1995, see entire document.            | 15-22                 |
| Y         | US 5,620,796 A (KAWABATA ET AL.) 15 April 1997, col.4, Lines 13-49.                | 23-37                 |
| Y         | US 4,879,333 A (FRAZEE) 07 November 1989, col. 3 Line 66 through col. 4 Line 49.   | 23-46                 |
| Y         | WO 96/05146 A (CYTEC TECHNOLOGY CORP.) 22 February 1996, page 9, lines 12-19.      | 28, 29                |

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. ☐ See patent family annex.

|   |  |
|---|--|
| * Special categories of cited documents:  | *T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention  |
| *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  | *X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone   |
| *E* earlier document published on or after the international filing date  | *Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art |
| *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) | *A* document member of the same patent family  |
| *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  |  |
| *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed  |  |

|   |   |
|---|---|
| Date of the actual completion of the international search<br>12 FEBRUARY 1999   | Date of mailing of the international search report<br>25 FEB 1999       |
| Name and mailing address of the ISA/US<br>Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks<br>Box PCT<br>Washington, D.C. 20231<br>Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230 | Authorized officer<br>PETER A. HRUSKOCI<br>Telephone No. (703) 308-0661 |



# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US98/26207

## C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

| Category* | Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages | Relevant to claim No. |
|-----------|--|-----------------------|
| Y,P       | US 5,773,542 A (KOUDATE ET AL.) 30 June 1998, col. 6, Lines 48-67.                 | 29                    |
| Y         | US 5,601,726 A (COLE) 11 February 1997, see entire document.                       | 8-22                  |

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)